A Meeting of the Board of Selectmen was held on Thursday November 7, 2019, 6:30 pm, in the Francis O’Brien Meeting Room, Town Hall, 26 Bryant Street, Dedham, Massachusetts

Present were:

Dennis J. Guilfoyle - Chair

Dr. Dennis J. Teehan - Vice Chair

James A. MacDonald

Sarah E. MacDonald

Dimitria Sullivan

Mr. Guilfoyle called the Meeting to order at 6:40 pm

**Pledge of Allegiance**

Led by Mr. Guilfoyle.

**Dedham Citizens – Open Discussion**

None.

**Discussion & Vote Re: Request for Approval of Non-Binding Referendum Question Relative to Proposed Rail Trail for April 2020 Town Election**

Doron Regev – 199 Whiting Ave.

Informed everyone how he and his wife got involved with this issue. Mr. Regev also spoke about his two young daughters the fact that they will be writing their bikes to school. He also spoke to the fact that he enjoys mountain biking and would love to have a Rail Trail to take his girls out for a ride.

Pakita Bass – 164 Central Ave. - Boston Public High School teacher in Dorchester. Her husband teaches at the Xaverian Brothers High School.

Ms. Bass spoke about her two young children and the opportunity to create a safe space, away from cars. Ms. Bass stated that she thinks it’s time to put forth a non-binding referendum for the April ballot Ms. Bass stated some of her reasons:

* Illegal dumping is happening right now
* Near-by Towns are models for Dedham
* over 75 Massachusetts Communities have created Rail Trails and community paths
* project has support from abutters and from trail neighbors

Susan Butler – 60 Clark Street – Town Meeting member and an abutter.

Ms. Butler asked the proponents to take some time and look at one of the other sites that could be the Rail Trail. Ms. Butler urged the Board to not support this plea.

Charley Kruger – 11 Stafford Street

Mr. Kruger stated he is not present to be for or against the Rail Trail, he would like to speak in defense of Town Meeting. Mr. Kruger added that this is been one of the most divisive topics the Town has seen.

Mr. Kruger read the following statement:

Our Constitution’s first words are WE THE PEOPLE not We the BOS or WE THE PEOPLE for or against the Rail Trail. WE THE PEOPLE are the Town Meeting Members that are elected by WE THE PEOPLE of Dedham. Clearly, Town Meeting voted against the Rail Corridor. For the Board to even consider a request from this group to put it on the ballot in the spring does nothing but undermine the decision that Town Meeting voted on for WE THE PEOPLE that chose them to make this decision for them. Just because a group is not happy with the decision that was made doesn’t give them the right to try and circumvent Town Meeting’s decision. If the Board choose to circumvent Town Meeting’s decision that would be nothing less than the message to Town Meeting that the process and decision is worth less than the paper it is written on and we should just dismantle Town Meeting. I am a strong believer in a Democracy decision that is made by WE THE PEOPLE of Town Meeting. I asked that our decisions of Town Meeting are upheld and respected by the Board as they always have been. I, as a Precinct Chair and the Vice Chair of Chairs respectfully ask the Board to not support this request.

Robert Falvey – 29 Mount Vernon Street - Resident of Dedham for 56 years.

Mr. Falvey stated that Dedham has a good government structure in place and feels like if the proponents really want to pursue a Rail Trail it should be done in the proper manner, through Town Meeting.

Dr. Teehan stated that both sides wish to see an end to this project. Dr. Teehan added that until someone figures out what to do with that piece of land, this issue will not go away. Dr. Teehan continued, saying, that he is not made up his mind on how he will vote. Dr. Teehan asked the proponents why they are not just going back to Town Meeting.

Georganna Woods – 4 College Place

Ms. Wood stated that they are not looking for any money and the reason they’re asking for a nonbinding referendum, is to take the temperature of the Town. Ms. Woods added that she does not want this hanging over everybody’s head either. Ms. Woods informed all that other communities are dealing with the same issue.

Ms. Woods read the referendum:

Do you favor, if there is no cost to Dedham taxpayers, for design and construction, the creation of the so-called Dedham Heritage Rail Trail on the 10-acre parcel of public land that runs from East Street to the Boston Readville line.

Ms. Woods answered that this is merely taking the temperature on how people stand regarding this referendum.

Mr. Goodwin asked Ms. Woods if she feels there is a common ground to be reached. Mr. Goodwin continued, saying that maybe we can take a step back and look into whether the Town wants a Rail Trail or Multiuse Trail in general

Ms. Wood stated that she appreciates that and added that there are many people that just want a resolution to this issue.

Ms. Woods continued, saying that she would like to keep collecting signatures.

Mr. Guilfoyle stated that Ms. Woods could collect signatures and speak with the Town Manager at the same time.

Dr. Teehan stated that this Board will not interfere with the Democratic Process.

Ms. MacDonald stated that she is not sure that this referendum gives the Town a resolution.

Ms. MacDonald asked Ms. Woods if a referendum moves things forward without any extra steps.

Ms. Woods answered that it was essentially similar and added that Dover was the most similar. Ms. Woods described a few Towns where the process was very similar to Dedham.

Mr. MacDonald informed all that the Board of Selectmen had a request to put a nonbinding question on the ballot. Mr. MacDonald continued, saying that Town Meeting can say no in May 2018 and then say yes in May 2020. Mr. MacDonald continued, saying that he proposed a CPA one year and it lost by 10 or 12 votes. Mr. MacDonald informed all that a few years ago Dr. Teehan brought back. Mr. MacDonald stated that he would not take a position on this question this evening. Mr. MacDonald asked both sides they were willing, with a small representative group to sit in a room with the new Town Manager and have a conversation.

Georganna Woods answered yes

Sue Butler answered yes.

Ms. Sullivan echoed her fellow Board members statements and stated that everyone needs to go into the Meeting ready to hear and learn something new and that the Board should take a leadership role regarding this issue.

Mr. MacDonald moved to take the item under advisement.

Clarissa Robin - Whiting Avenue

Ms. Robin explained why she is for the rail.

seconded by Ms. MacDonald. **On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

**Discussion & Vote Re: Request for Change of Manager from Meredith Lobsitz to Ashley Ramirez for Legal Sea Foods, LLC, 736 Legacy Place**

Ms. Baker informed all that this item has been deferred to the next Meeting.

**Discussion & Vote Re: Request for Secondhand License from J&J Arms, 224 Bussey Street**

Joshua Cruz stated that he is applying for a Secondhand License.

Ms. MacDonald read some notes from her conversation with Town Counsel.

* Under J&J Arms existing Federal Firearms Permit, the owner has the right to buy and sell used Firearms
* Business must comply with stringent requirements to ensure that used Firearms are legal and all purchase and sales must be entered into the Federal Database
* The federal requirements regulating buying and selling of Firearms are considerably more stringent than the application for which you are applying now, Dedham’s Secondhand Dealer License
* Under existing federal government owner can buy and sell used Firearms.
* Town requires that you obtain a secondhand dealers license.
* License allows owner to buy and sell Firearm accessories.

Mr. Cruz read the following statement:

I am looking to acquire a Secondhand License for the following reasons: since news of my store opening, I have received an overwhelming amount of emails from people from all walks of life. A lot are senior citizens who have these Firearms in their homes and they cannot legally gift to anybody and just want them out of their homes since they no longer use them as frequently as they used to. They call me and ask if I can sell them for them or buy them as they feel much safer to give it to a licensed dealer who can properly dispose of or sell for them since they do not have the resources to do so. This

also helps us get Firearms off the street from people who should not legally have them. With the Secondhand License I will be able to purchase Firearms off said persons and log their identification. These logs are submitted to the Dedham Police Department every Friday in person with a copy of the sellers identification. We will also hold Firearms for 30 days to ensure it is not needed by any agencies for investigations. The issue of this license will also encourage people who own Firearms to trade or sell them legally rather than taking any other route. Some will argue that they do not want to transport their Firearms because they no longer have an active license to own them in this state. We will be able to make home visits to people who cannot make it to the store with their invitation and purchase them so that there will be no excuses. It is important to have this license to keep legal Firearms registered in Massachusetts.

Ms. MacDonald stated that the Firearms are completely regulated by the Federal Government and the other stuff (safe, holster, etc.) is what the Board is asking him to keep track of in a bound book.

Mr. MacDonald thanked Ms. MacDonald for Meeting with Town Counsel about this.

Mr. MacDonald asked Mr. Cruz to explain the process for somebody that turns in a holster or a garrison belt or a clip.

Mr. Cruz answered that he will take pictures of anything he takes in and takes a copy of their identification whether it be a license to carry on the Massachusetts driver’s license.

Mr. Cruz added that it is not in the out-of-state IDs

Mr. Guilfoyle clarified that this is a Secondhand License that covers accessories and not Firearms are covered under Mr. Cruz’s federal license.

Mr. MacDonald moved to approve the Secondhand License based on advice of counsel; seconded by Ms. Sullivan. **On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

Ms. MacDonald asked Mr. Cruz when the opening will be. Mr. Cruz answered hopefully at some point in the next two weeks.

**Discussion & Vote(s) w/ Town Engineer Jason Mammone Re: Recommendations on the Following Requests: Trenton Road Stop Sign; Dedham Square Pedestrian Signal Evaluation; Upland Road; Greenwood & Depot Avenues & Madison Street Stop Sign**

Trenton Road – Stop Sign Request

Director of Engineering, Jason Mammone, was present before the Board.

Mr. Mammone stated that he did an evaluation of the intersection. Mr. Mammone added that the main thing to look at when you’re installing a stop sign and an intersection which is currently uncontrolled, look at the model crashes that occur within the intersection and you also look at site line issues. Mr. Mammone informed all that he looked at the last 10-year crash data between MASS DOT in the Police Department and there were no crashes in this intersection over a 10-year period however there was a site line issue with one of the houses that is close to the corner.

Mr. Mammone stated that the Board could choose to vote to have a Stop Sign, but he does not recommend because safety does not seem to be an issue, with no crashes in 10 years. Mr. Mammone continued, saying that people seem to negotiate the intersection fine up to this point.

Dr. Teehan moved approval of the installation of a stop sign at Trenton Road at Bonham; seconded by Mr. MacDonald with discussion.

The Board discussed whether it was a good thing to go against the recommendation of Mr. Mammone.

**On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, no; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, no; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, no. 3-2 fail.

Dedham Square Pedestrian Improvements

Mr. Mammone explained that this was a Capital Improvement request from last year by the Board of Selectmen. Mr. Mammone informed all that he hired consultant Beta to do a study and analysis of the intersection at Dedham Square both the intersection of High and Washington and High and Eastern. Mr. Mammone continued, saying that they went out and did their counts and their study of the existing traffic light and pedestrian patterns and they developed a plan or several options, which could be implemented to improve the pedestrian safety within the square. Mr. Mammone continued, saying that all of the options, little nuances. Mr. Mammone informed all that the overall level of service in the Square doesn’t change. Mr. Mammone stated that of the three options that beta came back with, they recommended the separate exclusive phasing at each intersection along with the supplemental Saying no turn on red at all approaches.

Mr. MacDonald moved that the Board except the recommendation of option 3A; seconded by Dr. Teehan. **On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

Mr. Mammone stated that he will have to come back with a vote to change traffic regulations for the no turn on red. Mr. Mammone added that he will work with the consultant on trying to fix the phasing of the lights.

Upland Road

This is a request by the Transportation Advisory Committee, along with their recommendation was the traffic needs assessment report that was conducted by the Engineering Department for the Transportation Advisory Committee. The result of that needs assessment was the request to install two temporary speed humps, one on Upland Road and one on top Hill Avenue. Mr. Mammone continued, saying that there is an existing speeding issue on top Hill Avenue and due to the limited real estate and the driveways the only mechanism to try to mitigate speeds is through a speed hump or a speed table. Mr. Mammone informed all that a speed humps will bring down speeds and 3 to 5 mph, close to the actual speed limit for that roadway

Mr. Mammone stated that what TAC would like to do is install those temporary speed humps and hopefully DPW will get a couple more so we can accentuate the other areas of Upland Road and top Hill Avenue.

Mr. Mammone stated that they will back out and do another study to make sure they are effectively reducing speed as we intended them to do. Mr. Mammone continued, saying that if they do, we will then ballot the neighborhood according to the Traffic Calming Policy to make sure that those who have been living with it, in the infected area and in the immediate area are satisfactory with those types of Traffic Calming Measures that are out there.

Mr. Mammone added that if they all come back according to the policy and it meets it, we would be back in front of the Board to request permanent ones to go in place but if not, maybe we come up with a different solution.

Mr. Mammone stated that the other recommendation that TAC is asking for is the installation of a marked crosswalk across Upland Road as there isn’t currently a marked crosswalk only a sidewalk on one side of the road. Mr. Mammone added that they would like to do a crosswalk with accessible ramps, appropriate crosswalk signage.

Mr. Mammone continued, saying that the third thing that the TAC wanted to do was to get support from the Board of Selectmen to send a request to Mass Dot for a heavy

commercial vehicle exclusion.

Dr. Teehan moved that the Board approve the recommendation from the Engineering Department at Upland Road; seconded by Ms. Sullivan. **On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

Greenwood & Depot Avenue

Mr. Mammone stated that there is been property damage to at least two of the properties on Greenwood and Depot Avenues. Mr. Mammone added that they are trying to mitigate the tractor-trailers is using that for their turnaround to get back onto their course to Sprague Street. Mr. Mammone informed all that one of the options they originally came up with was to increase signage along Sprague Street but that didn’t work. Mr. Mammone continued, saying that they have discussed doing something more hardscape like narrowing the road, do not enter signs on Greenwood. Mr. Mammone stated that this is aggressive and it costs money to do so.

Mr. Mammone stated that in the meantime the MBTA told the Town that they need to redo the MBTA Bridge and that might mean the clearance issue will go away. Mr. Mammone added that the resident who has been having the issue with property damage suggested turning Depot into a One-way from Cedar on to Greenwood but not from Greenwood back out to Cedar.

Ms. Sullivan moved to Amend Part 1, Schedule No. III of the Traffic Regulations to adopt a One-way restriction heading northerly from Cedar Street to Greenwood Avenue and Amend Part 1, Schedule No. XI “Do Not Enter” restriction for Depot Avenue heading southerly at its intersection with Greenwood Avenue; seconded by Ms. Sullivan.

Mr. MacDonald asked Mr. Mammone if any of the residents on Depot Street opposed this?

Mr. Mammone answered that he did not reach out to the neighbors and added that he was just looking at the consensus of how flow works in the majority of traffic in this area is going Cedar, Depot, Greenwood out to East Street.

Dmitri Kapalis – 431 Cedar Street

Mr. Kapalis commended Mr. Mammone and added that he is happy that a solution has been found.

**On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

Ms. MacDonald stated that some literature should go out to commuters to better explain the changes on Gepot and Greenwood.

Madison Street Stop Sign Request

Mr. Mammone stated that this was a request from a resident regarding stop signs to create a multiway stop at the intersection of Madison, circuit and Shire Town. Mr. Mammone added that he did a study and traffic analysis and multiway stops fall under State Guidelines where they must meet MUTCD Warrants in order to be established as a multiway stop. Mr. Mammone informed all that it did not meet the Warrants, so he was unable to recommend a multiway stop at that location, even though the Multiway stop was not recommended, he did recommend a couple of other things:

* Increased Police presence during pickup and drop-off
* Work with Joe Flanagan on installation of the appropriate crosswalk signage to replace damaged signs.
* Repaint the crosswalk at that location
* Install no parking anytime - 50 feet from the intersection on all approaches, State Law says you are not allowed to park within 20 feet of an intersection

Ms. MacDonald stated that the key here is educating parents at Oakdale that this is happening. Ms. MacDonald suggested working with the school to get word out to the parents.

Ms. MacDonald moved that the Board except the recommendation from the Engineering Department at Madison Street; seconded by Ms. Sullivan. **On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

**Discussion & Vote w/ Town Counsel & BPCC Chairman Re: Construction Manager at Risk Method Re: Public Safety Building Project**

Jim Sullivan, Chair of the Building Planning Construction Committee, was present before the Board.

Mr. Sullivan stated that originally, they had voted to try to go with CMR at risk. Mr. Sullivan added that at that time the State was looking at that as a costly endeavor. Mr. Sullivan continued, saying that they took a vote at their last Meeting and voted in favor of sending it back to the Selectmen for another look at the process. Mr. Sullivan stated that from their perspective this build of the public safety building is going be a complex build. Mr. Sullivan informed all that they really need a firm that can deal with the complexities of this build. Mr. Sullivan stated that the CMR does cost a little bit more but Mr. Sullivan has looked at a few projects they are very close to being on time or early.

Mark Reich, from KP Law was present before the Board. Mr. Reich informed all that on the State level there’s a great deal of push in a lot of areas to use the CMR at risk process.

Mr. Reyes went on to explain what CMR is in more detail.

Mr. Goodwin informed all that the traditional number that a project goes over is 2-5%, Sony $45 million project that could be in the millions of dollars. Mr. Goodwin added that some of the 2 to 5% overage we would’ve gotten anyway. Mr. Goodwin continued, saying that you know your poison up front or you get it later.

Ms. MacDonald asked Mr. Goodwin how long of a delay will there be if we step back and that the CM at risk to for a Review a Review.

Mr. Goodwin answered, the people she has spoken to mention the 30 to 60-day range.

Ms. MacDonald asked Mr. Goodwin is this shift in process opens us up to going back to the Planning Board.

Mr. Goodwin answered no and the Review would mostly be interior structure type of elements.

Ms. MacDonald stated that one of the real benefits are going CM at risk, from the get-go, is the opportunity to bring in a contractor who can actually Value Engineer during the design. Ms. MacDonald asked how do we see the availability of savings in a process where we bring in a CM at risk on Board.

Mr. Reich stated that the buy-in from the CM allows the CM to look over the plans and do some Value Engineering.

Ms. MacDonald stated that she believes we have already contracted with an OPM.

Mr. MacDonald stated that for where we are at this point, with a new manager, the Assistant Town Manager and the BPCC can really oversee this project.

Mr. MacDonald moved to support a CMR; seconded by Ms. Sullivan.

Dr. Teehan stated that it just seems like the CMR might be a little more expensive but it is also a little more certain.

**On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

Mr. MacDonald asked Mr. Reich to explain the next step.

Mr. Reich informed all that an application needs to be submitted to the Inspector General to get approval and that will get started.

**Discussion & Vote Re: Disposition of Request Re: Numbering of Wampatuck Road**

Mr. MacDonald stated that he did drive by Wampatuck Road a couple of times and added that when he looked at the driveway, it seemed to be a stretch to call that a Private Way. Mr. MacDonald continued, saying that he thinks is something that we can

work on to prevent the neighbors addresses from changing. Mr. MacDonald informed all that the motion he makes will be contingent upon a binding agreement with the Property Owner at 219 Wampatuck Rd. because if we are going to be naming the driveway a Private Way, there are certain benefits that will not be available i.e. snowplowing by the Town, signage, etc.

Discussions took place regarding State law, inconvenience to residents, public safety, emergency responders routes, similar situation in the past, future developments and a long-term solution instead of band aid approach.

Mr. MacDonald stated that the numbering issue has always been in existence.

Ms. Sayegh – GIS Director - explained that making the driveway a Private Way is against State law.

Mr. Goodwin informed all that the address number zero has always been invalid and there never should’ve been a zero address.

Mr. MacDonald asked Chief D’Entremont and Chief Spillane, if the driveway is called a private driveway versus a Private Way and the private driveway gets a distinct name, would that hinder or improve response to that building.

Chief Spillane answered that response time would be improved.

Discussion continued re: what the numbering sequence is and how State Law affects or directs changes.

Mr. Cimeno stated, in his opinion, they’re trying to put a Band-Aid on this situation. Mr. Cimeno added that we are going to be back here at some point in the future and we’re just delaying the inevitable.

Mr. MacDonald asked Mr. Cimeno was if the numbering on Wampatuck Road was an issue five years ago.

Ms. Sayegh stated that before the new construction, it was not the best scenario but it was still applicable, but we cannot work with the new construction. Ms. Sayegh stated that it is totally against the law.

Dr. Teehan stated that if he had medicine that reduces the chance of a heart attack by 1%, but if it makes the patient dizzy and nauseous all day, do I give the patient the medicine, of course not.

Mr. Guilfoyle asked, what would be the difference of making it Private Way from a private driveway.

Ms. Baker stated a driveway cannot be a Private Way.

Mr. MacDonald moved that the Board designate the property at 219 Lowder St. to be a private driveway, subject to an agreement with the property owner re: signs, etc., and that a Meeting be held with the neighbors re: future changes; seconded by Ms. Sullivan.

**On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, no; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

Discussion re: Woodleigh Road

Mr. Cimeno reminded the Board that 26 Woodleigh Rd. is a house that has been under renovation for approximately four years. Mr. Cimeno added that there has not been much progress made. Mr. Cimino continued, saying that he has been in touch with the owner who keeps promising that he will do additional work there is no noticeable difference. Mr. Cimeno stated that in his opinion, it should move forward for receivership

by the Atty. Gen.’s office. Mr. Cimeno stated that they have had very good success with some other properties that were chronic. Mr. Cimeno added that there are another 10 to 15 houses that he has on a preliminary list that he would like to Review with the Director of the Health Department and the Town Manager to be presented to the Atty. Gen.

**Discussion & Vote Re: Request from House Counsel to Accept Changes Made to Legislation Re: License to Sell Beer & Wine at 573 High St (Grateful Dedham Diner)**

Mr. MacDonald moved to table this agenda item and send it back to Legislature to include a $5000 Economic Development fee; seconded by Dr. Teehan. **On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

**Ames Building update**

* Construction is at similar status as last week.
* Executive team met again this morning to hammer out some final details
* Ken Cimeno is making sure we are on top of code issues
* Substantial completion targeted for end of November
* Move in January

**Town Manager’s Report**

* Moving through PCOs (Potential Change Orders) 60-80
* Have resolved 20 so far
* Mr. Goodwin took walk-through last week
* Progress speaks for itself
* Finance and Warrant Committee met on 29 October 2019
* Moved all the articles on their recommendations
* Public Safety Building - discussions all last week with the BPCC

**Action by BOS:**

1-day liquor license

Amy Haelsen

Dedham Sq., Circle - Fundraiser

Saturday, November 9, 2019

Dr. Teehan moved approval; seconded by Ms. Sullivan. **On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

Gift Acceptance

To: Council on Aging

From: Beth Israel Deaconess Hospital Campus Needham

What: $1500

Why: Fire Prevention Equipment for our seniors

Dr. Teehan moved approval; seconded by Ms. Sullivan. **On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

Drainlayer

Titan Excavating

Newton

Recommended by Engineering Department for Approval

Mr. MacDonald moved approval; seconded by Ms. Sullivan. **On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

Minutes

Executive Session – 12-20-2017 & March 27, 2018

Mr. MacDonald moved approval; seconded by Dr. Teehan. **On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, abs; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, abs; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

Ms. Baker stated that there was an item that came in from Rep. McMurtry’s office to Dedham’s Town Clerk. Ms. Baker added in Reviewing the Town Charter, in connection with the Legislation that was filed to go from Board of Selectmen to Select Board. Ms. Baker continued, saying that house counsel recognized a change that a change that had been made to the composition of the Housing Authorities across the state had not yet been changed in our Charter, So they would like to do that as part of the Legislation in identifying Charter changes.

Mr. MacDonald asked if Dedham Town Counsel had looked at the paperwork.

Ms. Baker stated no, she did not receive it until late this afternoon.

Mr. MacDonald stated that he would like counsel to look at this and bring it up at a later Meeting.

Ms. Baker stated that she will, as is practice, be posting a Meeting of the Board for just prior to the Warrant Review Meeting on Monday the 18th to take any matters up that might be time sensitive.

**Old/New Business**

Ms. Sullivan stated that she would like a Review of the lighting around Mosley’s.

Ms. Baker informed all that Mr. Flanagan has already made a request of the state, for an additional streetlight in the Mosley’s vicinity. Ms. Baker stated that she will speak to Mr. Flanagan about addressing the area in front of the Brick House. Ms. Baker continued, saying that unfortunately there were 2 Pedestrians Struck crossing Bridge St. this evening in front of the Brick House.

Ms. Sullivan informed all that there is a Veteran’s Day assembly tomorrow at the Middle School at 8:30 am.

Dr. Teehan stated that one of the things we really need to start thinking about is the repurchasing of the Dedham Police Building. Dr. Teehan continued, saying that one idea the Dedham Square Steering Committee has come up with is to develop the Keystone Lot by using the Dedham Police Site for temporary parking. Dr. Teehan stated that putting more onsite parking underground and building a new building where the lot is. Dr. Teehan added that he would like to see the Square steering committee make some sort of presentation to the Board at an upcoming Meeting.

Ms. MacDonald stated that Mike Butler, former Selectman, asked her to request the by Annual Report from Eversource regarding double poles. Ms. MacDonald added that they passed the business improvement District. Ms. MacDonald asked for an update regarding next steps and timeline.

Ms. MacDonald wanted to let residents know that we are kicking off our Master Plan, which happens every 10-years. The Committee is scheduled to meet for the first time on December 11, 2019.

Mr. Guilfoyle thanked everyone who came out for the Punch for Parkinson’s event at Mosley’s. Mr. Guilfoyle added that it was a packed house in good amount of money was raised for the fight against Parkinson’s.

Mr. Guilfoyle thanked all Veterans and their families, a Happy Veterans Day.

Mr. MacDonald moved to adjourn the Meeting; seconded by Dr. Teehan. **On the Vote**: Ms. MacDonald, yes; Dr. Teehan, yes; Ms. Sullivan, yes; Mr. Guilfoyle, yes; Mr. MacDonald, yes.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:28PM.

The next Meeting is scheduled for Thursday November 21, 2019. This is to certify that the above is a true and accurate record of the minutes of the Selectmen’s Meeting held on November 7, 2019, which minutes were approved on April 16, 2020.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Dennis J. Guilfoyle. – Chairman